
From: Matley, Ted <FTA>
To: Barr, James <FTA>
CC: Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Carranza, Edward (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: 9/16/2008 10:03:18 AM
Subject: RE: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

thanks, I'll review and combine and get them out by Tomorrow as the FTA comments

From: Barr, James <FTA>
Sent: Tue 9/16/2008 11:33 AM
To: Matley, Ted <FTA>
Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Bausch, Carl <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; VanWyk, Christopher <FTA>; Ryan, James <FTA>; Zelasko, Elizabeth <FTA>
Subject: RE: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

Ted:
And my comments.
If there are a few sharp edges you are welcome to use the Sukys Rasp.
Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Fisher, Ronald <FTA>
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 6:23 PM
To: Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Matley, Ted <FTA>; Barr, James <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>

Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>
Subject: RE: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

My comments are attached. See you in two weeks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Carranza, Edward <FTA>
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 3:03 PM
To: Matley, Ted <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Barr, James <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>

Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>
Subject: Re: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

These are good - Jacobs will also provide you some of their comments from their Risk Assessment and alignment view of this week. Suggest to marry/screen the two sets of thoughts, for some of them may touch on the same comment. See you next week.

----- Original Message -----

From: Matley, Ted <FTA>
To: Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Barr, James <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>

Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>
Sent: Fri Sep 12 13:58:03 2008
Subject: RE: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

FYI. These are the PMOC's comments.

-----Original Message-----

From: Fisher, Ronald <FTA>

Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 10:55 AM

To: Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Matley, Ted <FTA>; Barr, James <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>

Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>

Subject: RE: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

I will have all my comments to you by COB today as I leave for two weeks of vacation tomorrow. I'd like to share two with you that may have been caught by our PMOCs:

Pg. 3-26: second paragraph: a 2-hr max peak load of 11,950 is cited along with a reference to the system design capacity of 18,000. The capacity cited is the potential capacity with expansion beyond what the project would have. The relevant capacity is 6,000/hr per direction as that is what the Project will have. Because peak-hour loadings are greater than 50% of the two-hour loadings, it appears that the projected loadings exceed project capacity. This should be explained.

Pg. 2-13, Table 2-4: the 20% spare ratio for buses is consistent with industry practice. However the figure for rail cars is 10%. This should be changed to 20% consistent with industry practice.

Any comments on those?

-----Original Message-----

From: Carranza, Edward <FTA>

Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 1:18 PM

To: Matley, Ted <FTA>; Barr, James <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>

Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>

Subject: Re: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

Hi Group. I'm here on the islands and ready to soon board the plane back to SF, but the Honolulu folks are anxious to hear back from us with any more comments. With that said, I'm sure Ted if you gave Toru and Steve a call advising them you'd complete our inputs by sometime next week, they'd be fine with it. The initial comments you gave them are keeping them busy enough, and this weeks action items from the Risk Assessment effort will keep them from waiting too intensely. I will pass onto to Cathy the need to expedite anything from BAH, and in fact, I can also if you wish touch base with Jacobs who is finishing their initial RA inputs as we speak, and did also review the draft as a prep action for their RA work - they may offer some thoughts for you. I can't believe it would be major work to share what they saw as they read the document. If you need something from me within the next couple of hours before I leave here, please advise.

----- Original Message -----

From: Matley, Ted <FTA>

To: Barr, James <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>; Marler, Renee <FTA>; Carranza, Edward <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>

Cc: Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>

Sent: Fri Sep 12 13:03:46 2008

Subject: Schedule for comments on Honolulu ADEIS

Hello all,

How is everyone doing on their review of the Honolulu ADEIS? Frankly I wouldn't mind a little more time, and I also haven't gotten anything from the PMOC, I think Cathy had directed them to send me their comments to me directly.

Should we send comments Monday as they requested, or set a new target date? If we set a new target date, do we want to communicate it to Honolulu?

Any thoughts?

Thanks,

Ted

AR00143877

Ted M Matley

FTA Region IX

201 Mission Street, Suite 1650

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415) 744-2590

fax (415) 744-2726

ted.matley@dot.gov